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## Advanced econometric theory <br> Exercises 13 <br> Equality constraints

1. We consider a dynamic model of the form

$$
y_{t}=\theta_{0} y_{t-1}+\theta_{1} x_{t}+\theta_{2} x_{t-1}+u_{t}, t=1, \ldots, T
$$

We wish to test the hypothesis that this model can can be written as a nondynamic regression model with $A R(1)$ errors, i.e.

$$
y_{t}-\theta_{0} y_{t-1}=a\left(x_{t}-\theta_{0} x_{t-1}\right)+u_{t}, t=1, \ldots, T
$$

Write the constraints entailed by the latter model:
(a) in explicit form;
(b) in implicit form;
(c) in mixed form.

Using the definitions of these three types of formulation, explain your answers.
2. Consider the linear model:

$$
y_{i}=x_{i}^{\prime} \theta+u_{i}, i=1, \ldots, n
$$

where $x_{i}$ is a $p \times 1$ fixed vector such that the matrix $x=\left[x_{1}^{\prime}, x_{2}^{\prime}, \ldots, x_{n}^{\prime}\right]^{\prime}$ has rank $p$, and the $u_{i}$ are random disturbances such that

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
E\left(u_{i}\right)=0, & i=1, \ldots, n \\
E\left(u_{i} u_{i}\right)=\sigma^{2}, & \text { if } i=j \\
=0, & \text { if } i \neq j .
\end{array}
$$

Further, we consider the following explicit linear constraints : $\exists a \in \mathbb{R}^{q}$ such that

$$
\theta=H a+h,
$$

where $H$ is a $p \times q$ matrix with $\operatorname{rank} q, 1 \leq q<p$, and $h$ is a $q \times 1$ vector.
(a) Express the above constraint in implicit form.
(b) Show that the ordinary least squares (OLS) estimators of $\theta$, based on explicit and implicit constraints, are identical.
(c) Show that the constrained OLS estimator of $\theta$ is more precise (in the sense that that its covariance matrix is smaller) than the unconstrained OLS estimator of $\theta$.
(d) Let $\hat{\theta}^{0}$ and $\hat{\theta}$ be the constrained and unconstrained estimators of $\theta$. Show that $\hat{\theta}^{0}$ and $\hat{\theta}-\hat{\theta}^{0}$ are uncorrelated.
3. Let $L_{n}(\theta)$ be a likelihood function such that the maximum likelihood (ML) $\hat{\theta}_{n}$ strongly converges to $\theta_{0}$, and

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} \frac{\partial L_{n}}{\partial \theta}\left(\theta_{0}\right) \xrightarrow[n \rightarrow \infty]{\xrightarrow{d}} N\left[0, I_{0}\right], \\
& -\frac{1}{n} \frac{\partial^{2} L_{n}}{\partial \theta \partial \theta}\left(\theta_{0}\right) \xrightarrow[n \rightarrow \infty]{\xrightarrow{p . s .}} J_{0},
\end{aligned}
$$

where $I_{0}$ and $J_{0}$ are positive definitive matrices. Consider the mixed constraint:

$$
g(\theta, a)=0 \text { for some } a \in \mathbb{R}^{q}
$$

where $g(\theta, a)$ is an $r \times 1$ vector, $\partial g / \partial \theta^{\prime}$ has $r$, and $\partial g / \partial a^{\prime}$ has rank $q$. Let $\hat{\theta}_{n}^{0}$ be the constrained ML estimator of $\theta$.
(a) Show that the random vector $\sqrt{n}\left(\hat{\theta}_{n}^{0}-\theta_{0}\right)$ is asymptotically equivalent to a linear transformation of $\sqrt{n}\left(\hat{\theta}_{n}-\theta_{0}\right)$.
(b) Determine the asymptotic covariance matrix of $\sqrt{n}\left(\hat{\theta}_{n}^{0}-\theta_{0}\right)$ when
(1) $g(\theta, a)=\theta-h(a)$,
(2) $g(\theta, a)=g(\theta)$.
(c) Show that $\sqrt{n}\left(\hat{\theta}_{n}^{0}-\theta_{0}\right)$ and $\sqrt{n}\left(\hat{\theta}_{n}-\hat{\theta}_{n}^{0}\right)$ are asymptotically uncorrelated when $I_{0}=J_{0}$.
4. Under the same conditions as in question 3 , show that the estimator $\hat{\theta}_{n}^{0}$ obtained by minimizing $\left(\hat{\theta}_{n}-\theta\right)^{\prime} \tilde{J}_{n}\left(\hat{\theta}_{n}-\theta\right)$ with respect to $\theta$ and $a$ under the constraint $g(\theta, a)=0$ is asymptotically equivalent to $\hat{\theta}_{n}^{0}$, when $\tilde{J}_{n}$ converges (with probability one) to $J_{0}$.

